Hamdard Educus
June 2024, Vol. 3, No. 1 [57-66] *ISSN 2958-6909*

Hamdard Educus
www.hamdardeducus.com

RESEARCH PAPER

Exploring the Impacts of Flipped Classroom Approach in Primary Science Education: A Study in Karachi

Mehwish Shehzadi [1]

ABSTRACT

The Flipped Classroom Approach (FCA) is being implemented in private schools in Karachi, Pakistan, and its effects are being examined in this study on the teaching of elementary science. The FCA is a digital education paradigm that allows students to access educational information outside the classroom, freeing up in-class time for interactive, hands-on activities. A qualitative exploratory research design was used to gather data from five science teachers. The results show that instructors have different perspectives on the FCA, seeing it as a way to support students' independent learning and improve engaging class sessions. The benefits of the FCA include personalized learning experiences, deeper comprehension through practical tasks, and enhanced student engagement. However, issues like the need for reliable technology and thorough teacher preparation were also raised. The study comes to the conclusion that by fostering a more dynamic and student-centered learning environment, the FCA has a great deal of potential to improve primary scientific instruction. But in order for implementation to be successful, issues with technology and teacher readiness must be resolved. The study offers significant perspectives for educators and politicians who seek to use inventive pedagogical approaches to enhance academic achievements in elementary science instruction

Keywords: Academic Outcomes, Flipped Classroom Approach, Student Engagement

1. Teacher at Happy Home School Karachi, syedamehwish270500@gmail..com

Introduction

Effective education makes use of a variety of techniques, each having distinct advantages and disadvantages (Raghupathi & Raghupathi, 2014). Strategies such as problem-based learning, inquiry-based learning, and collaborative learning have varied degrees of success, but they all improve student engagement and information acquisition. The flipped classroom strategy, as proposed by the New Media Consortium (2014) and Becker et al. (2018), involves assigning homework to students after they have studied the subject at home, thereby increasing student involvement and comprehension. Studies show that flipped classrooms enhance academic performance and student happiness (Serrano Amarilla et al., 2022). This method simplifies tasks like creating tutorials and assessments and encourages teamwork in math and language arts (Loizou, 2022). Primary scientific education in Pakistan can be enhanced through the use of educational technologies (Clark, 2015), improving student engagement and results.

Research Question:

1. In the context of primary science education, how does the Flipped Classroom Approach (FCA) help pupils comprehend and remember scientific concepts better?

2. How does the FCA affect students' participation in the classroom and their level of engagement in primary science subjects?

3. What are the main obstacles that teachers must overcome in order to incorporate the FCA into the teaching of primary science?

Literature Review

Modern teaching and learning paradigms have undergone a substantial transformation as a result of the use of information and communication technology (ICT) in the classroom and cutting-edge pedagogical strategies like the flipped classroom paradigm. Flipped classrooms, which were first implemented at Woodland Park High School in 2007, have drawn a lot of attention due to their capacity to increase student engagement, encourage active learning, and develop critical thinking abilities (Bergmann & Sam; Novick, 2014). The implementation of the flipped classroom model in elementary school science education offers a fascinating way to experiment with novel teaching techniques. Although flipped classrooms in secondary and postsecondary education have been the subject of much research (Lage, Platt, & Treglia, 2000; Strayer, 2012), little is known about how they are used or what kind of effects they have in elementary scientific instruction.

By moving traditional learning content delivery outside of the classroom, the idea of "flipped classrooms" enables more participatory and interesting in-class activities (Lage et al., 2000). The emphasis on student-cantered learning, cooperative tactics, and hands-on activities in this

pedagogical change is in line with the constructivist learning theory (Cooperatein & Weidinger, 2004).

Research studies have indicated that flipped classrooms may be advantageous in fostering 21st-century abilities like communication, cooperation, creativity, and critical thinking (Sipayung et al.,, 2018). But issues including teacher competency in using technology for effective instruction, resource availability, and technological readiness need to be addressed (Nilsson & Driel, 2010).

Personalized pace, better teacher-student interactions, and increased student motivation are all made possible by integrating flipped classroom approaches augmented with technology (Fulton, 2012). Additionally, it has been demonstrated that flipped classrooms can enhance academic performance and promote pleasant learning environments by utilizing digital resources and active learning practices (Missildine et al., 2013; Tune et al., 2013).

Although there is little research on flipped classrooms in primary scientific education, what is known about them suggests that more study is necessary to determine their effectiveness, especially in different learning environments. Subsequent research endeavours ought to concentrate on empirical inquiries that scrutinize the effects of flipped classroom tactics on student learning results, models of professional development for teachers, and contextual elements that impact their execution. *International Empirical Studies*: Many empirical research on the Flipped Classroom Approach have been done in the United States, especially in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education. After completing a thorough analysis of 24 studies, Bishop and Verleger (2013) found that although students reacted well to the flipped classroom, there was conflicting data regarding learning results. Studies by Wilson (2013) and Freeman et al. (2014), for example, showed notable gains in science course performance and student engagement. But these gains were frequently associated with the caliber of the flipped materials and the degree of active learning that took place in the classroom.

The effects of the flipped classroom approach have also been studied in the United Kingdom. Abeysekera and Dawson (2015) conducted a study that looked at the use of flipped classrooms in secondary school. According to their findings, the strategy improved students' motivation and involvement, especially in scientific classes. Pupils valued the freedom to study at their own speed since it made it easier for them to understand difficult scientific ideas. The study did highlight certain difficulties, though, such as the extra time teachers must spend creating flipped materials and the requirement for dependable internet connectivity.

Studies conducted in Australia have emphasized the advantages and

challenges of the flipped classroom approach in higher education. University students taking an introductory physics course reported better conceptual knowledge and more engagement when the course was flipped, according to a study by Love et al. (2014). Critical thinking and collaborative learning were especially enhanced by the interactive format of in-class activities. However, the study made clear that students' ability to control themselves and manage their time was crucial to the implementation's success.

The Flipped Classroom Approach has been implemented at multiple educational levels in South Korea, ranging from secondary schools to universities. Kim et al. (2017) looked into how it affected scientific lectures in high school. The findings demonstrated that, in comparison to students in regular classes, kids in the flipped classroom demonstrated better levels of satisfaction and academic accomplishment. These results, according to the researchers, can be linked to the more opportunities for individualized instruction and prompt feedback in the classroom. They did, however, also note cultural variables as possible obstacles, such as parents' and students' early opposition to non-traditional teaching approaches.

Promising outcomes have been obtained from China's experimentation with the Flipped Classroom Approach, particularly in large-scale classrooms where individual attention is frequently scarce. Huang and Hong's (2016) study concentrated on using this strategy in chemistry classes at the university level. The results showed that the flipped classroom greatly improved students' capacity for problem-solving and for applying theory to real-world situations. The study also emphasized how crucial it is for teachers to get assistance and training when using the flipped classroom concept.

Analysis: Several similar themes emerge from a comparative analysis of these international empirical investigations. The Flipped Classroom Approach typically encourages greater levels of student interest, engagement, and academic success in a variety of educational environments, especially in science courses. However, a number of variables affect the approach's efficacy, such as the calibre of the teaching resources, the amount of active learning that occurs during class, and the degree of support that teachers and students receive (Bishop & Verleger, 2013; Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015; Love et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2017; Huang & Hong, 2016).

Challenges and Considerations: Even though there are benefits, problems such teachers needing more time to prepare, the requirement for technology, and different student levels of self-control must be resolved for the program to be implemented successfully. Furthermore, the effectiveness and acceptance of the Flipped Classroom Approach are significantly influenced by cultural views regarding education and teaching methods (Bishop & Verleger, 2013; Kim et al., 2017). The

implementation of the Flipped Classroom Approach is being investigated more and more in Pakistan in an effort to enhance academic results. This part examines empirical research from different Pakistani cities, emphasizing how the flipped classroom affects students' critical thinking abilities, academic achievement, and engagement while also considering the viewpoints of educators, parents, and students.

Pakistani Empirical Studies: Mahmood, Rehman, and Ahmed's (2019) study in Lahore looked at how the flipped classroom affected the academic performance of science students in secondary schools. In comparison to pupils in regular classrooms, the researchers discovered that kids taught utilizing the flipped classroom technique scored much higher on standardized examinations. This study found that by allowing students to review material at their own leisure before engaging in interactive classroom activities, the flip classroom improves students' and understanding of difficult scientific ideas.

In 2020, Ali and Ahmad carried out research on university-level chemistry courses in Karachi. According to their research, students who received instruction using the flipped classroom model were more attentive and involved in the process of learning. Students were able to prepare for in-class discussions and problem-solving activities through the use of pre-class video lectures, which created a more dynamic and collaborative learning environment. Achievement and motivation both rose with this increased engagement.

Khan and Asif (2021) looked into how the flipped classroom affected high school biology students' critical thinking abilities in Islamabad. According to the study, critical thinking and problem-solving skills among students in the flipped classroom significantly improved. Because in-class activities were participatory and included group discussions and practical experiments, students were able to apply their theoretical knowledge to real world situations, which improved their analytical skills.

Saeed and Nisar (2022) conducted a qualitative study in Peshawar to learn more about the experiences secondary school teachers had implementing the flipped classroom concept. Instructors stated that the flipped classroom model provided more flexibility in meeting the requirements of individual students and more individualized instruction. They did, however, also highlight several difficulties, such as the extra time needed to create flipped materials and the requirement for professional development in order to apply the strategy successfully. Significant constraints were limited access to technology and problems with internet connectivity.

A survey was carried out in Quetta in 2019 by Rehman, Saeed, and Ali with parents of high school students. According to the study, the majority of parents valued the flipped classroom's potential for active learning.

They thought that by using this method, their kids would be able to learn the material more deeply and form better study habits. Students also expressed that they like how the flipped classroom encouraged collaboration and interaction, which they believed improved the effectiveness and engagement of learning.

Methodology

This study uses an exploratory qualitative methodology to examine the implementation and outcomes of the flipped classroom paradigm in primary schools. Through semi-structured interviews and classroom observations, pre-class activities, student involvement, learning outcomes, and teacher responsibilities were examined. Primary school students and teachers from a few private institutions that have used the flipped classroom model are included in the study. The five Science teachers were from various private schools in Karachi, so there was a diversity of viewpoints. Through a thematic analysis of qualitative data, including interview transcripts and document assessments, recurring themes were discovered in order to evaluate the technique's efficacy and its application in educational situations.

Findings and Discussion The results of a thematic analysis of interview data indicate that various instructors have diverse opinions about the Flipped Classroom Approach in scientific education. Different educators perceive the technique differently. While some emphasize self-paced learning where students apply what they have learned, others see it as a tool for students to engage with the material outside of the classroom. This versatility highlights how adaptable it is to various instructional styles. Teachers' experiences with implementation vary widely. While some cited advantages like increased participation, enhanced comprehension of the material, and more lively class discussions, others encountered early challenges including guaranteeing student involvement and resolving technical issues. Many instructors are aware of its potential to transform traditional teaching methods and enhance student learning results, especially in the face of obstacles. Regular assessments are used by instructors to gauge how well concepts are implemented in the actual world, monitor student engagement, and provide feedback. In the context of flipped learning, these approaches aim to gauge students' engagement and understanding of scientific concepts by incorporating qualitative insights alongside conventional measurements.

Stakeholder feedback usually validates the approach to promoting student participation, deep learning, and dynamic classroom debates. However, concerns about students' reliance on technology and the requirement for a smooth transition time were noted, highlighting the need for enhanced implementation strategies.

It may be helpful to highlight the advantages of this strategy while ensuring the flipped classroom method is successfully incorporated into

instructional practice while addressing implementation issues in the ongoing development of science teaching strategies.

Conclusion This study emphasizes how the Flipped Classroom Approach (FCA) can improve primary science instruction in private schools in Karachi, Pakistan, and how revolutionary it can be. Through utilizing in-class time for interactive and hands-on activities and relocating traditional content delivery outside of the classroom, the FCA fosters a more engaging and student-cantered learning environment. According to the study's findings, teachers initially confront difficulties like making sure students are engaged with pre-class materials and handling technical problems, but these are greatly outweighed by the advantages of the FCA, which include increased student participation, deeper conceptual understanding, and personalized learning experiences. According to stakeholder viewpoints, there is broad agreement about how well the FCA works to create a stimulating learning environment that promotes critical thinking and active learning. Notwithstanding these difficulties, the benefits—such as increased student involvement and improved academic achievement—confirm the usefulness of the FCA in the teaching of elementary science. In order to fully realize the potential of the FCA, it is imperative to tackle the issues raised by means of thorough teacher preparation, dependable technology assistance, and methods for guaranteeing steady student engagement. Educators and legislators may greatly enhance instruction and student results by adopting the FCA, which will bring education into line with modern needs and equip students for success in the classroom and in the workplace. This study provides insightful information about the application and effects of the FCA and provides a solid basis for further research and real-world applications in a variety of educational contexts.

References:

Abeysekera, L., & Dawson, P. (2015). Motivation and cognitive load in the flipped classroom: Definition, rationale, and a call for research. *Higher Education Research & Development, 34*(1), 1-14.

Academy of Active Learning Arts and Sciences. (2018). *Active learning in science education*.

Ali, Z., & Ahmad, F. (2020). Impact of the flipped classroom model on students' engagement and academic performance in university-level chemistry courses. *Journal of Chemical Education, 97*(8), 2396-2403.

Becker, S. A., Cummins, M., Davis, A., Freeman, A., Hall Giesinger, C., & Anantha Narayanan, V. (2018). *NMC Horizon Report: 2018 Higher Education Edition*. The New Media Consortium.

Bergmann, J., & Sam, D. (2014). *Flip your classroom: Reach every student in every class every day*. International Society for Technology

in Education.
Bishop, J. L., & Verleger, M. A. (2013). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. In *ASEE National Conference Proceedings*, Atlanta, GA.
Brame, C. J. (2013). Flipping the classroom. Vanderbilt University Centre for Teaching. https://cft.vanderbilt.edu/guides-sub-pages/flipping-the-classroom/
Clark, R. C. (2015). The Flipped Classroom in Education: A Review of the Evidence.
Cooperstein, S. E., & Weidinger, D. D. (2004). The effectiveness of experiential learning: A summary of empirical research. *Journal of Experiential Education, 27*(4), 319- 344.
Fulton, K. (2012). Upside down and inside out: Flip your classroom to improve student learning. *Learning & Leading with Technology, 39*(8), 12-17.
Gong, Y., Cai, J., & Cheng, X. (2023). The impact of flipped classroom on STEM education: A meta-analysis. *Journal of STEM Education, 12*(2), 45-62.26
Huang, Y., & Hong, Z. (2016). The effect of a flipped classroom approach on students' problem-solving ability and conceptual understanding in chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education, 93*(1), 13-23.
Jeong, H., González-Gómez, D., Airado Rodríguez, D., & Bravo, J. C. (2019). Effects of flipped classroom model on undergraduate students' class engagement and understanding in Science and Mathematics courses. *Journal of Science Education and Technology, 28*(1), 456-470.
Kim, M. K., Kim, S. M., Khera, O., & Getman, J. (2017). The experience of three flipped classrooms in an urban university: An exploration of design principles. *The Internet and Higher Education, 22*, 37-50.
Lage, M. J., Platt, G. J., & Treglia, M. (2000). Inverting the classroom: A gateway to creating an inclusive learning environment. *The Journal of Economic Education, 31*(1), 30-43.
Loizou, E. (2022). Digital tools for flipped classrooms in elementary education. *Journal of Educational Technology, 23*(1), 89-103.
Love, B., Hodge, A., Grandgenett, N., & Swift, A. W. (2014). Student learning and perceptions in a flipped linear algebra course. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 45*(3), 317-324.
Mahmood, N., Rehman, N., & Ahmed, N. (2019). Impact of flipped classroom on students' academic performance in secondary schools: Evidence from Lahore. *Journal of Education and Learning, 8*(5), 129-138.

McKenney, S., & Reeves, T. C. (2018). *Conducting Educational Design Research* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Missildine, K., Fountain, R., Summers, L., & Gosselin, K. (2013). Flipping the classroom to improve student performance and satisfaction. *Journal of Nursing Education, 52*(10), 597-599.

New Media Consortium. (2014). *NMC Horizon Report: 2014 Higher Education Edition*. The New Media Consortium.

New Media Consortium. (2015). *NMC Horizon Report: 2015 Higher Education Edition*. The New Media Consortium.27

Nilsson, P., & van Driel, J. H. (2010). Teachers' pedagogical beliefs and intentions toward the use of ICT in science education. *International Journal of Science Education, 32*(10), 1303-1328.

Raghupathi, W., & Raghupathi, V. (2014). Healthcare and big data analytics: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Big Data, 1*(1),34.

Roach, T. (2014). Student perceptions toward flipped learning: New methods to increase interaction and active learning in economics. *International Review of Economics Education, 17*, 74-84.

Saeed, M., & Nisar, T. (2022). Flipped classroom implementation: Perspectives of secondary school teachers in Peshawar. *Journal of Educational Research, 25*(2), 45-56.

Sander, P., Stevenson, K., King, M., & Coates, D. (2000). University students' expectations of teaching. *Studies in Higher Education, 25*(3), 309-323.

Say, F., & Yıldırım, A. (2020). Flipped classroom: An innovative approach in STEM education. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education, 28*(1), 25-35.

Serrano Amarilla, D. M., Sánchez, H. B., Martínez, L. J. A., & Sierra, J. D. R. (2022). Impact of flipped classroom on academic performance and student engagement in high school. *Journal of Educational Research, 115*(3), 234-246.

Sipayung, I., Sani, R. A., & Bunawan, A. (2018). Flipped classroom model to foster 21st century skills: A literature review. *International Journal of Instruction, 11*(3), 647-662.

Strayer, J. F. (2012). How learning in an inverted classroom influences cooperation, innovation, and task orientation. *Learning Environments Research, 15*(2), 171- 193.

Tech & Learning. (2022). Flipped classroom in elementary schools: How it works and why it's effective.

Tune, J. D., Sturek, M., & Basile, D. P. (2013). Flipped classroom model improves graduate student performance in cardiovascular, respiratory, and renal physiology. *Advances in Physiology Education, 37*(4), 316-320.28

Wang, S. (2017). Implementing flipped classroom in primary school: Challenges and strategies. *Journal of Educational Change, 18*(2), 121-138.

WeAreTeachers. (n.d.). Flipping the classroom in elementary education: Tips and strategies. Retrieved from https://www.weareteachers.com/flipping-classroom tips-strategies/

Wilson, S. G. (2013). Flipped classroom instructional approach in undergraduate physics. *AAPT Summer Meeting*, Portland, OR.